

Privatization Resources

In the Public Interest is a nonprofit research and policy center on privatization and responsible contracting. The below reports and fact sheets were produced by In the Public Interest, members of our Scholars Network, and other close allies. They are meant to provide valuable information and strengthen campaigns that ensure public services and assets are publicly controlled while contracts with private entities are transparent, accountable, and effectively monitored, and meet the long-term needs of communities.

To receive an electronic copy of this guide or PDFs of any of these resources, contact Jeremy Mohler, In the Public Interest's Communications Specialist, at jmohler@inthepublicinterest.org or 202-429-5091.

Responsible contracting

Closing the Books: How Government Contractors Hide Public Records

This brief provides case studies that illustrate how private contractors hide three key types of information: (1) the fees they charge the public, (2) how they spend public funds, and (3) details on the quality of public services.

Shift: How Taxpayers Began Reclaiming Control of their Public Services

In 2014, nineteen states saw action to curb the reckless outsourcing of public services, and four states signed responsible contracting measures into law. This legislative recap includes a chart and map showing the legislative shift.

The Taxpayer Empowerment Agenda

The Taxpayer Empowerment Agenda is a package of legislative proposals to help taxpayers reclaim control of our democracy.

Standing Guard: How Unaccountable Contracting Fails Governments and Taxpayers

Recent research and numerous examples illustrate why contract oversight often falls short. This report discusses common problems and the real world consequences of lax oversight, and provides policy recommendations to help cities and states improve their oversight of government contracts. The appendix provides additional examples of recent government contract problems not included in the report.

Overlooking Oversight: A Lack of Oversight in the Garden State is Placing New Jersey Residents and Assets at Risk

Janice Fine, Patrice Mareschal, David Hersh, and Kirk Leach, Rutgers University, 3/13/14 This report is a first-of-its-kind review of New Jersey's structure and capacity to provide oversight of third-party contractors. The research strongly suggests that government capacity to provide adequate and effective oversight has dwindled due to numerous factors and this report recommends a redesign of the state's contracting process to include the requirement of sufficient resources, new contract management requirements, greater transparency, and the development of appropriate data systems.

The Decision to Contract Out: Understanding the Full Economic and Social Impacts

Daphne Greenwood, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, 3/13/2014 While many studies have examined the risks to government in contracting (such as uncertainties about costs or quality) there has been less attention to the broader social and economic effects of contracting. To understand the impacts on local economies and communities, this report goes beyond the net fiscal impact on governments to the larger question of how changes affect citizen well-being.

Backgrounders and campaign tools

A Guide to Evaluating Pay for Success and Social Impact Bonds

This guide aims to help advocates identify the critical issues surrounding "Pay for Success" contracts, also known as "Social Impact Bonds," and their impact on vulnerable individuals and the public. We describe these issues and include a list of key questions advocates can raise to help ensure that decision makers make choices that advance the public good.

The Public School Accountability Agenda

This agenda helps communities ensure that public charter schools are transparent, accountable, and equal in opportunity.

Ask the Right Questions Before Privatizing

This brief handout lists ten simple questions that public officials, advocates and the media should ask -- and get answers to -- before any final privatization decision is made. It's a test to

The High Costs of Privatization

This backgrounder brief provides examples of recent research that examine the costs associated with privatization, share experiences from cities and states, and conclude with an overview of the various types of costs that make privatization a risky and expensive gamble.

Backgrounder Brief: Insourcing

This backgrounder brief provides examples of cases where, when cost savings aren't realized or service quality declines, many governmental entities are turning to "insourcing." It explains the benefits of insourcing and addresses examples from multiple levels of government across a wide array of sectors including corrections, water, IT services, and more.

Six Reasons Why Government Contracting Can Negatively Impact Quality Jobs and Why it Matters for Everyone

This paper summarizes recent research that shows how the public sector provides quality middle-class jobs, and describes how government privatization eliminates these good jobs without much savings to the taxpayer, and increases inequality, which is costly - today and in the future.

Privatization failures

How privatization increases inequality

This report examines the ways in which the insertion of private interests into the provision of public goods and services hurts poor individuals and families, and people of color.

Cutting Corners: How Government Contractors Harm the Public in Pursuit of Profit

This report details the negative impacts on the public of cost-cutting by contractors across a variety of public goods and services and at every level of American government.

Race to the Bottom: How Outsourcing Public Services Rewards Corporations and Punishes the Middle Class

While corporations rake in increasing profits through taxpayer dollars and CEO compensation continues to soar, this report shows that workers employed by state and local government contractors often receive low wages and few benefits.

Out of Control: The Coast-to-Coast Failures of Outsourcing Public Services to For-Profit Corporations

This report serves as a cautionary tale for lawmakers and taxpayers alike as policymakers continue to consider outsourcing proposals across the country. Unfortunately for taxpayers, not only has outsourcing critical public services and assets to for-profit corporations failed to keep the promise of doing the job better, faster and cheaper, but too often privatization undermines transparency, accountability, shared prosperity and competition – the underpinnings of democracy itself.

Floodlights Instead of Flashlights: Sunshine Laws Out of Step with Government Contracting Leaves Public and Lawmakers in the Dark

This report explores the real-world consequences of privatization on government transparency and the public's access to information. The research shows that the increasing use of government contractors at all levels of government to perform public functions is limiting our ability to acquire important public information.

Looting the Urban Commonwealth: Privatization and the Politics of Austerity

Elliott Sclar, New Labor Forum, 8/16/2013

This article, originally published in the New Labor Forum, outlines common pitfalls in privatization, analyzes the structural causes for the continued efforts to increase the rate of outsourcing and provides a promising way forward in creating community and labor coalitions to reframe the fight against privatization and public sector asset-stripping.

Infrastructure privatization

Building America While Building the Middle Class

The report outlines best practices to ensure that P3 infrastructure projects help tackle inequality and rebuild America's middle class. Like publicly financed projects, P3s have the potential to create middle class pathways for disadvantaged communities if they include policies regarding job quality and equity.

Infrastructure Justice: Building Equity into Infrastructure Financing

This backgrounder provides a number of principles and policy recommendations that are critical to ensuring Public Private Partnerships (commonly referred to as P3s) are structured as truly win-win-win propositions for taxpayers, investors and the project workforce.

A Guide to Evaluating Public Asset Privatization

In any proposed asset privatization deal, there are key questions that should be asked by those who want to ensure that the public interest is protected and advanced. This guide that gives basic information about asset privatization deals, and provide examples of important questions that should be asked and explored when faced with a proposed privatization effort.

Infrastructure Privatization Contracts and Their Effect On Governance

Ellen Dannin, Pennsylvania State University, 7/10/2009

This report by Professor Ellen Dannin from the Dickinson School of Law examines the role of public access in developing contracts for infrastructure and how the process functions in general by focusing on three kinds of provisions commonly found in infrastructure contracts.

Private Equity, Public Inequity

Food and Water Watch, 8/27/2012

This fact sheet discusses the push for water privatization, identifies some of the key problems with private equity takeovers of water systems, and offers several alternative solutions for communities dealing with fiscal crisis.

The Political-Economics of Private Infrastructure Finance: The New Sub Prime

Elliott Sclar, The Earth Institute at Columbia U., 10/1/2009

This report by Elliott Sclar, prepared for the Annual Meeting of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning, explores the long-term implications of a new political economy of public-private finance for sustainability, social equity and economic efficiency through a review of recent experiences.

Education privatization

Making the Grade? Questions to Ask About School Services Privatization

This guide provides important questions that decision makers should consider when faced with a privatization proposal. Advocates, including school service personnel, teachers, parents, and members of the community can use these questions to ensure that decision makers keep students' and the broader district's best interest at the heart of these conversations. These questions can be helpful to use during meetings with school administrators, in testimony at school board hearings, and even in meetings with allies to draw attention to problems with a proposed privatization initiative.

Cashing in on Kids

Cashing in on Kids, a joint project of In the Public Interest and AFT, conducts research and public education programs designed to help ensure that public schools put the students' interest above corporate interests that are increasingly taking control of public education policy and institutions. The website includes examples of charter schools, many of them run by for-profit companies, that lack critical elements of effective public control and, as a result, do a poor job of serving students and taxpayers, as well as provides examples of thoughtful education policies, good practices and effective schools.

Do Poor Kids Deserve Lower-Quality Education Than Rich Kids? Evaluating School Privatization Proposals in Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Gordon Lafer, Economic Policy Institute, 4/24/2014

This report found that charter privatization proposals are driven more by financial and ideological grounds than by sound pedagogy.

AAPS and the Privatization of School Support Functions: Lessons Learned from Food Service

Roland Zullo, Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, U. of Michigan, 3/1/2010 This report describes how a policy decision to extend a management-only service contract to include staffing responsibilities affected the Ann Arbor Public Schools food service budget and operations.

All Costs Considered: A NEW Analysis on the Contracting Out of School Support Services in Oregon

Dr. Lafer and Dr. Bussel, Labor Education and Research Center, U. of Oregon, 2/2008 This follow-up study focuses on three school districts that contracted services in 2003. Since several years had elapsed since these districts shifted management and administration of school support services to private contractors, the authors were able to assess the long-term personal and social impact of privatization.

Privatized School Food Service and Student Performance in Michigan: A Preliminary

Roland Zullo, Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, U. of Michigan, 2/2008
This report analyzes Michigan school district data for the 2005-2006 school year revealing that school districts that use private companies to provide food service generally fail to realize savings and that contracting out is associated with a reduction in standardized test scores.

Corrections privatization

Private Prison Companies Encourage Mass Incarceration by Owning Facilities

This fact sheet details how private prison companies' marketing of their empty facilities furthers America's overreliance on incarceration.

How Private Prison Companies Increase Recividism

The brief shows that people incarcerated in prisons operated by for-profit companies, like Corrections Corporation of America (CCA) and GEO Group, have higher rates of recidivism than people incarcerated in publicly managed prisons.

Cutting Corners in America's Criminal Justice System

This report shows that, in an effort to provide services with fewer resources while also maximizing profits, private corrections companies often cut corners, harming prisoners, employees, communities, and taxpayers.

Private Companies Profit from Almost Every Function of America's Criminal Justice System

These graphics depict the possible paths through the criminal justice system of people charged with different offenses, revealing the various privatized services provided by the corrections industry.

Buying Access: How Corporations Influence Decision Makers at Corrections Conferences, Trainings, and Meetings

This report first details how private corrections companies spend millions of dollars sponsoring conferences, paying vendor fees, and providing other funding to gain access to the professional corrections associations. This report then shows how corrections companies leverage this access in ways that can influence decision makers and benefit the companies' bottom lines.

Criminal: How Lockup Quotas and "Low-Crime Taxes" Guarantee Profits for Private Prison Corporations

This report discusses the use of prison bed occupancy guarantee clauses in prison privatization contracts and explores how bed occupancy guarantees undermine criminal justice policy and democratic, accountable government. The report sheds light on the for-profit private prison industry's reliance on high prison populations, and how these occupancy guarantee provisions directly benefit its bottom line. Also discussed are the prevalence of bed guarantee clauses, drawing on set of contracts that ITPI obtained through state open records requests. We also address how occupancy guarantees have harmed states, focusing on the experiences of Arizona, Colorado, and Ohio. The report also discusses the recommendation that governments can and should reject prison occupancy guarantees.

The Cost of Private Prisons

The private prison industry claims that governments can save money by privatizing prisons, but what does the evidence actually indicate? This backgrounder summarizes recent research and state reports related to private prison costs, and then discusses some common, yet dangerously flawed and unsound tactics employed to make private prisons appear cost effective.

Essential Public Interest Protections for Prison Privatization Contracts

This report includes a list of public protections that should be included in contracts when a state considers prison privatization. It examines crucial issues where a private company may have different goals and priorities than the public including costs, safety and monitoring, other services, non-compliance, contract cancellation, and transparency.

Prison Privatization Backgrounder Brief

This backgrounder brief discusses findings from research related to prison privatization, shares info from states that have privatized prisons, and profiles a few major private prison operators.

Other areas of privatization

Municipal Services Backgrounder Brief

This backgrounder brief explores problems related to the privatization of municipal services, and provides examples of experiences from cities around the country that have considered or have privatized critical services. Specifically, this brief examines privatization in the following areas: park maintenance, street maintenance, garbage collection, building inspection, information technology, printing services, and "contract cities."

Better to Own or to Regulate? The Case of Alcohol Distribution and Sales

Roland Zullo, University of Michigan, 3/25/2014

Alcohol-related income generation is compared across monopoly and license off-premise alcohol regulatory models in U.S. states, 1977-2010. The findings challenge the wisdom of asset divestment as a response to fiscal stress and contradict a central tenet of New Public Management (NPM) Theory.

In the Public Interest is a comprehensive research and policy center committed to promoting the values, vision, and agenda for the common good and democratic control of public goods and services. Get in touch at info@inthepublicinterest.org or 202-429-5091, and visit us at www.inthepublicinterest.org.